CANDOUR

The Britons
Views-Letter
founded by
A.K Chesterton MC
in 1953

To serve as a link between Britons all over the world in protest against the surrender of their world heritage

ISSN 008-5529 Issue 889 Oct -Nov 2025

In this issue...

The Roots of the British:



A talk by Prof. John Kersey Given at the *Heritage & Destiny* John Tyndall Memorial Meeting and Book Launch in Preston on Sunday 7th September 2025

Pages 3 - 6.



Traitor's Gate

Margaret Thatcher - The False Boudicca

Part II by Stephen Smith

Pages 7 - 11

Also in this issue:
AMOS POGLE - He is One of Our *Own* By Tarka. Pages 12 -13
LETTERS & CANDOUR *EXTRA!*

"The true soldier fights not because he hates what is in front of him, but because he loves what is behind him."
"A dead thing can go with the stream, but only a living thing can go against it."
G. K. Chesterton (second cousin of A. K.)

"Reform UK are the Tories with knobs On!"
Bill Baillie, paraphrasing Arnold Leese.

Editorial: Colin Todd



Artist unknown

I had attempted to write an Editorial at least fifty times for this issue. So much going on that one can struggle for a clear picture. Smoke and Mirrors. As planned by the enemy.

Out of the blue Yuri from the USA sent me this cartoon on the day that the news is again of 'grooming' gangs. Properly alien Rape Gangs. It says it ALL!

TWO TIER JUSTICE FOR BRITONS!

21/10/25 - It is reported that a third abuse survivor has resigned from their role in the government's inquiry into grooming gangs. 'Elizabeth' from Rotherham said the process felt "*scripted and predetermined...*"

More on this and much more next issue.

Old Albion
A Ballad by Ian Stuart
youtube.com/watch?V=_dLATN55Xeg
"Will we stand and watch them taking our freedom away?"

Political Paradox - How Nationalist 'Unity' is actually division!

In poor times for British Nationalism the standard feeble cries are heard; "*We need to unite, we must come together, unite!*". The reason Nationalists are in different groups is because they all have fundamentally different approaches to the following: strategy, tactics, methods, internal culture, constitution and ideology. Put everyone together and political splits, truly damaging division will occur. Arguments about elections or demonstrations, one supreme leader or group leadership and so on. We can't afford to go back to square one.

Real unity is **political success** i.e. the political organisation that is the clear leader in the Nationalist field. Nature's way, survival of the fittest! Think of the National Front in the 1970s, the BNP from the early 90s onwards and in the 2000s.

Nationalists who are members of groups outside the main party should join said party as individuals (no mergers!) and acknowledge that organisation's principles, policies and constitution.

Those now promoting 'unity' are either weak, myopic or of malevolent intent!

-Fake Nationalist 'unity' is a guarantor of division!

Stephen Smith - Asst. Editor *Candour*
Deputy - Chairman British Democrats

Next issue: From *New Nation* No. 6. 1984. '*Nationalist Unity*' by Andrew Brons. We must repeat ourselves...

True Nationalist Unity

Above: Left to Right. Stephen Smith, Derek Beackon and Colin Todd in 2023. (All in *Candour* caps which are honorary and not for purchase).

AMEN to those thoughts of my Assistant Editor Stephen Smith. We each are very close to our half centuries involvement in British Racial Nationalism having first becoming involved, when in our early teens, with the old National Front in the later 1970s and having met many pre-WW2 comrades.

I wish I could say that we made all of our mistakes so that others would not have to repeat them. Unlikely.

What I will say is avoid the apprentices of Nick Gri££in operating now like the plague!

The Roots of the British:

A talk by Prof. John Kersey

(Website: *johnkersey.org*)

(Given at the *Heritage & Destiny* Memorial Meeting and Book Launch Preston. Sunday 7th September 2025)



Above: (right) Prof. John Kersey, educationalist and musician, addresses the Preston audience. Also on the stage **(left)** Peter Rushton, *H&D* assistant editor and webmaster who very ably chaired the meeting following the sad recent death of the usual and scheduled meeting chairman Keith Axon and **(centre)** Julian Leppert, former district councillor, of the *British Democrats* and author of the new book (see back page for more details) *INTO THE FURNACE: A Brief History of the British National Party and My Journey Through the Winning Years 2002 - 2012.*

Our theme today is The Roots of the British, and so let me begin by reminding us just who the British are.

Firstly, I want to refer to the work of geneticist Adam Rutherford, who has done extensive work on historical genetics and has applied statistics and probability to questions of descent. His findings are that if you are of predominantly British descent, and were born (or are descended from someone who was born) in the 1970s, it is virtually impossible that you are not directly descended from King Edward III of England[i]. And if you are descended from him, you are also a descendant of William the Conqueror, Alfred the Great, and every ruler of Europe in the 10th century. So, on the basis that I am talking here to an audience comprised of British people of British descent, I have a message for you: the blood of kings runs in your veins. You are the descendants of British men and women of greatness whose names will live evermore in history. You are the connection between them and future generations. You need not only to know your ancestors but to honour their example. Be truly who you are. And, by the way, that means that almost everyone in this room is related to everyone else. We are all distant cousins.

In our literature, the figure of William Shakespeare is not only the greatest British writer to have ever lived, but in my view, the greatest in the world. And if we want to hear some of his words that speak to us just as clearly today, let us go to the orchard in Swinstead Abbey and listen to the words of Philip the Bastard, son of King Richard I, who has just seen his uncle King John die:

This England never did, nor never shall,
Lie at the proud foot of a conqueror,
But when it first did help to wound itself.
Now these her princes are come home again,
Come the three corners of the world in arms,
And we shall shock them. Nought shall make us rue,
If England to itself do rest but true[ii].

And today, England has again helped to wound itself. We can rightly ask at the moment, "who runs Britain?" The answer isn't Keir Starmer.

We can find the roots of what has happened in the ideas of the Socialist Workers Party. Its former leader Yigael Glückstein (known as Tony Cliff) devised the concept of "deflected permanent revolution" in a 1963 essay, which holds that where the proletariat cannot take power, a section of the intelligentsia can work towards a bourgeois revolution. Cliff defines very accurately the position of the intelligentsia regarding the working class by saying "The intelligentsia are anxious to belong without being assimilated, without ceasing to remain apart and above. They are in search of a dynamic movement which will unify the nation, and open up broad new vistas for it, but at the same time will give themselves power." [iii]

So this is not classical Marxism, which is class-based and concerned with the struggle of the working class. Instead, this contemporary ideology addresses itself to issues of race, gender and identity politics, and to what it identifies as oppressed minorities. It operates through the intelligentsia, which can be drawn from any class and is identified by its access to university education and the professions. If we were to analyse it academically, we would most accurately describe it as post-Marxism. It rejects classical Marxism for its reliance on grand narrative, and instead is anti-essentialist, not economically determinist, and strongly based on Gramsci's concept of hegemony. Although it is primarily directed against the politics of the Right, it is also opposed to the traditional Left. It has no time for the socially conservative elements of Labour or for the socialism of Jeremy Corbyn.

The communist Antonio Gramsci advanced the idea of cultural hegemony, in which a ruling class maintains its power through establishing its ideology as so-called "common sense" so that it becomes a universal norm that is firmly established in the social order, particularly through education and the media[iv]. The idea is that this ideological takeover comes to be seen as natural and inevitable rather than having been achieved by force. For that reason, its proponents take an approach of pushing forward gradually, manufacturing consent, and embedding their ideas through entryism into every public institution.

And so this quiet revolution has been undertaken by the liberal middle class of today. This is a class which defines itself by adherence to specific beliefs and ideological conformity, and it is that which keeps it apart and above. The beliefs are often deliberately absurd – that men can become women, for example – but that is the whole point. These beliefs are shibboleths, and they are used to mark people out as belonging to the middle class. In addition, the choice of shibboleths is deliberate. By making someone believe and pledge public allegiance to something which is obviously false, you destroy their moral fabric and their sense of what is right and wrong. The overall aim is that of culture war, in which everything that is traditional in British life is to be attacked and destroyed. It will be replaced by a society whose members are isolated, atomised, without cultural or moral values, and compliant with whatever their masters want them to do. It seems very clear now that the Covid lockdowns were a trial run for this.

This system doesn't rely on anything as obvious as state ownership. On the contrary, it spreads its control through a multitude of bodies, many of which are in theory private, but all of which are broadly committed to post-Marxist ideas. They are charities, trade unions, universities, political and special interest lobby groups, and non-government organizations. They are united by their opposition to the nation-state and everything that Britain has stood for culturally. They includes groups that have significant ideological differences, but when it comes to achieving the overall aims, there is an agreement that these differences should be smoothed over and ignored.

Parliament has spent several decades giving away its power to unelected bodies. In doing so, it created the ideal environment for this post-Marxist takeover. In 2012, Christopher Snowden commented on this phenomenon during the Blair era in a paper for the Institute of Economic Affairs, in which he said, "*In the last fifteen years, state funding of charities in Britain has increased significantly while restrictions on political lobbying by charities have been relaxed. 27,000 charities are now dependent on the government for more than 75 per cent of their income and the 'voluntary sector' receives more money from the state than it receives in voluntary donations.*

It has been argued that state funding weakens the independence of charities, making them less inclined to criticise government policy. This paper argues that there is a deeper problem if government funds and/or creates pressure groups with the intention of creating a 'sock-puppet' version of civil society which creates the illusion of grassroots support for new legislation. These state-funded activists engage in direct lobbying (of politicians) and indirect lobbying (of the public) using taxpayers' money, thereby blurring the distinction between public and private action.

State-funded charities and NGOs usually campaign for causes which do not enjoy widespread support amongst the general public (e.g. foreign aid, temperance, identity politics)."[v]

Yes, these charities, which include one with which we are all familiar – Hope not Hate[vi] – do receive money from the state. But more than this, they can easily be funded by other interests who intend to further the post-Marxist agenda. And these other interests can stay in the shadows, without any serious scrutiny.

With so much devolved to these groups, our Parliament is effectively bypassed as a place of cultural influence. Instead, what rules is the web of special interest groups which effectively decides what government policy should be and tells politicians what to do. It is entirely deliberate that this system is out of touch with the electorate. It is being ruled by post-Marxist activists who are working to bring about a position where their ideas form a Gramscian hegemony and will go unchallenged. The electorate has no relevance to these people, since most of them are unelected and unaccountable. Writing recently in *The Telegraph*, Allison Pearson said "*It's as if we were under occupation by a group of hyper-liberal progressives who have infected every corner of national life with their weird, righteous dogma, endlessly searching for proof of native loathsomeness and treating any pushback as proof of guilt.*" [vii] And that is precisely what is happening.

The primary aim of the activists can be summarized as being first to break down any institution they infiltrate, and then to rebuild it with their people and their principles in absolute control. We can see this with the NHS, and with the effect of net zero policies on manufacturing, farming and energy. It is entirely deliberate. Sometimes the destruction is obvious, as with the removal of historical monuments and the renaming of institutions to obliterate the memory of figures who do not fit the post-Marxist agenda. The wider agenda is to destroy tradition and the nuclear family, and to put such a burden of tax, censorship and debt onto people that they will become entirely dependent on the state as the only option that can save them.

And what of mass immigration? There is one reason above all others why the post-Marxists favour mass immigration, and it is because bringing foreigners into this country with no assimilation whatsoever is an extremely effective way to attack and destabilise British culture. For the post-Marxists, the more different the immigrants are from British people, the better. When there is no common people, there is no common culture and a low trust society, allowing those in charge to divide and rule. And when the British people are preoccupied by mass immigration and the problems it causes, it takes their focus off the people and organizations who are encouraging it to happen in the first place.

As part of the post-Marxist project, all resistance to the hegemony must be suppressed. Our culture is deliberately being dumbed-down. Many of us will remember a popular culture of thirty or forty years ago that relied not on formal education but on the native intelligence of our people and their willingness to build on the formidable cultural roots of the British. It was a culture that did not talk down to people and that gave a high priority to the discovery and exposure of working-class talent. There was an assumption that wherever people found themselves in life, they could better themselves.

Since then, our British popular culture has been replaced by a globalist mass culture dominated by the internet, and this consists increasingly of people being told what to think by others. AI is the newest manifestation of this, but what we see as the product of AI is exactly what is decided that we should see by those in power. We have also lost many of the individual voices that once made the online environment a haven for the intellectually curious. Instead, we now have a dominance of online material that is overwhelmingly and crassly commercial, often AI generated, and that is pitched at a moron level of cheap sensationalism. This is the bread and circuses approach that keeps people down. It is not just about turning people into mindless consumers, but it is far more about breaking down their resistance. The ugliness of much modern art and architecture,

and the soullessness of much modern commercial music, is part of the same phenomenon.

We also see a loss of aspiration. At one point, it was possible for someone from the British working class to succeed through a combination of talent, hard work and good luck. Now, the system deliberately disadvantages White working class boys in particular[viii]. In the post-Marxist new world order there is no place for those who want to better themselves, and there is no place for those who might have the intelligence to dissent or rebel.

It is still possible for someone from the White working class to learn a trade and do well. But tradesmen have no access to national power. Even the lowest member of the managerial middle class has more access to power than a successful electrician or plumber. And the liberal middle class is there because they have been compliant enough to jump through the post-Marxist ideological hoops of the universities and employment. They compromised early, and learned that if they were to get on and become part of the intelligentsia, they would need to abandon thinking for themselves and fit in to the prevailing establishment.

What also keeps people down is the web of monitoring and control. This was the major change to workplaces during the Blair era and now it dominates every office. It is a culture whereby everything a worker does is pre-planned and monitored. There is an obsession with data as a means of measuring accountability but the reality is that this is a system that knows the cost of everything and the value of nothing. It is an attempt to get rid of any subjective measure of value, and yet it is precisely that subjective measure that makes us human. Everything is now tied up in a stultifying bureaucracy that serves no real purpose in terms of making work better or more effective, but takes up enormous amounts of time and effort. Why should it predominate, then? Because it is a method of ensuring that people are too busy, tired and ground down to complain or revolt. The system is there to control them, and ensure that uniformity is imposed and individuality is destroyed. It's exactly the same tactic that was employed in the Soviet Union.

This change to our culture also promotes short-termism. This is in contrast to the post-Marxists, whose project is long-term and designed to progress slowly over the course of decades and multiple generations. The long-term outlook is one which can be identified particularly with the principles of faith, folk and family, and understands our generational context as the bridge between the past and the future. We can talk about this in the context of religious belief, but far more important is the residual effect that religious belief and specifically Christianity has had on our national culture. The Christian Faith was there in the background, providing the basic principles by which we lived in every aspect of British life. When this is taken away, because we will find the post-Marxists in the churches just as everywhere else, we have been left with a society that has no common moral foundation and whose members can be easily controlled from above.

Freedom of speech is one of the first casualties of this process. We have already established that the intelligentsia relies on shibboleths to determine its membership, and that these include ideas that are obviously wrong and absurd. For that reason, free speech must be suppressed because if these ideas are subject to challenge, they will be shown openly to be wrong and absurd. In Britain today, we have lost what was one of the foundations of our society – that all should be able to speak freely and that ideas, particularly political ideas, should be subject to robust debate. Now, there is ever-increasing censorship, and as ever, if you seek to know who rules you, ask who you are not allowed to criticize. We have also become a permanently divided nation. It really is a two tier system consisting of the post-Marxist establishment on one side and everyone else on the other. You cannot have compromise and civilised debate with people who believe that anyone who is opposed to them is (in their very limited understanding) "Nazi scum". The philosopher Hans-Hermann Hoppe advances a proposal for a society based on liberty in his 2001 book "Democracy, The God that Failed", and says that democrats and communists, as well as those who advocate alternative, non-family and non-kin-centered lifestyles, will need to be physically removed from society[ix].

Every working day the Prime Minister is greeted by a procession of men and women in suits telling him what he should do and what he should say. These are the people who are pulling the strings that make the politicians jump, and the post-Marxists have significant direct or indirect influence on them. They are embedded inside the Civil Service, and because most government ministers have no actual expertise in their fields, they are the ones with the power.

How do the post-Marxists do it? Well, they have worked tirelessly to get their ideology into every institution that has power. Think, for example, of the police. The 1999 Macpherson Inquiry branded the police "institutionally racist" and the response was that the police were then taken over by post-Marxist ideology in order to purge themselves. If you were to ask most public institutions before the Black Lives Matter protests whether they were racist, they would respond by telling you that they did not discriminate on the grounds of race, and tried to treat people of different racial backgrounds equally. But since the post-Marxists have imposed Critical Race Theory on them, those institutions will now tell you that they are not merely "non-racist", they are "anti-racist". And what they mean by "anti-racist" is that they have now completely adopted post-Marxist teachings on race.

It might be thought that if we simply elect the right government, this could change. Well, in order for that to happen, we will need politicians who actually understand the problem. One of the few people in politics who did articulate something on these lines was Dominic Cummings, who referred to the web of influence I have described as "the blob"[x]. If any politician is to succeed in changing Britain, they must have a strategy for not only purging but replacing the blob, and ensuring that it cannot become a mere vehicle for post-Marxist entryism. And if Reform are going to win the next election, I hope they are listening.

As the electorate, we must also have a realistic view of the limits of what Members of Parliament can do. If we ask why there is no significant progress in our country despite changes of government, why we remain culturally stagnant, and why no matter who is nominally in power, the ideology is the same, then we need to look to the fact that politicians are only the public face of the problem, and too often they are simply puppets. The real control, and the real damage, is going on all around them, but they have no willingness, nor perhaps the capability, to resist it. If we support the mainstream parties, our choice is between what we have now, which is a government of activists who are going to support the agenda of the blob, or what we saw with the last Conservative government, which gave up challenging the blob and simply went along with it. In other words, you get

exactly the same outcomes whichever party is in power, but with the Conservatives it happens slightly slower.

Many of us have argued in the past for a small state. I think we can now see that this argument has caused the problems we now face. When government devolves its responsibilities to unelected and unaccountable bodies, they get taken over by post-Marxist ideology, and then government either supports this ideology or else does not have the power or the will to do anything to oppose it. There is no alternative but for government to take back what it has given away.

So our country is in an emergency situation, and we therefore need appropriate solutions. There is an immediate need for a strong patriotic national government that will make it its business to tackle the blob, and that will take back power from the post-Marxists by whatever means necessary. It will need to make itself an enemy of the middle classes which have come to depend on post-Marxist ideology, and to instead ally itself with the working class of this country, and that will mean that it cannot be composed of career politicians. It will need to be prepared to fight every dirty trick that the professional classes can throw at it, and it will need to abolish many of the laws that have been introduced since 1997. It will also need to oppose the powerful financial interests that are working through charities and NGOs to push post-Marxist ideas into national policy. It will need to put a complete stop to illegal immigration and begin an extensive programme of repatriation. Lastly, it will need to rebuild our economy so that we are no longer dependent on foreign ownership, because this always comes with strings attached.

It will not be easy and it will not be quick, but we are now in an existential crisis where this is the only option, and we need men and women who are able to commit to making Britain great again and restoring a decent and civilised society.

So let us end by going back to the Bard,

"And you, good yeoman,
Whose limbs were made in England, show us here
The mettle of your pasture; let us swear
That you are worth your breeding; which I doubt not;
For there is none of you so mean and base,
That hath not noble lustre in your eyes.
I see you stand like greyhounds in the slips,
Straining upon the start. The game's afoot:
Follow your spirit, and upon this charge
Cry 'God for Harry, England, and Saint George!" [xi]

NOTES:

[I] See https://www.waterstones.com/blog/family-fortunes-adam-rutherford-on-how-were-all-related-to-royalty
[ii] Shakespeare: King John – Bastard, Act 5 Scene 7
[iii] Tony Cliff: "*Deflected Permanent Revolution*" (1963) retrieved from https://www.marxists.org/archive/cliff/works/1963/xx/permrev.htm
[iv] See Thomas R. Bates: "*Gramsci and the Theory of Hegemony*", Journal of the History of Ideas Vol. 36 no. 2 (Apr-Jun 1975), University of Pennsylvania Press, pp. 351-366, retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/2708933
[v] Christopher Snowdon: "*Sock puppets; how the government lobbies itself and why*", Institute of Economic Affairs Discussion Paper no. 39, June 2012, retrieved from https://iea.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/DP_Sock%20Puppets_redesigned.pdf
[vi] https://register-of-charities.charitycommission.gov.uk/en/charity-search/-/charity-details/1013880
[vii] Allison Pearson: "*Nigel Farage speaks for the millions ashamed of what Britain has become*", The Telegraph, 2 September 2025, retrieved from https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2025/09/02/nigel-farage-house-judiciary-committee-free-speech-starmer
[viii] See Julie Henry "*Why White working-class children fail: The race divide in English schools*", The Telegraph, 30 August 2025, retrieved from https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2025/08/30/why-white-children-fail-the-race-divide-in-english-schools/
[ix] Hans-Hermann Hoppe, "*Democracy: The God That Failed: The Economics and Politics of Monarchy, Democracy and Natural Order*". New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers (2001), pp. 216–218 "*There can be no tolerance toward democrats and communists in a libertarian social order. They will have to be physically separated and removed from society.*"
[x] See for example https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-8458865/New-report-blames-Whitehalls-blob-civil-servants-scientists-coronavirus-failures.html
[xi] Shakespeare: King Henry, Henry V – Act III, Scene I

* * * *

"The Roots of the British" was the theme of the Heritage and Destiny meeting, Sunday 7th September, Preston, Lancashire.

We remembered those nationalists who had died since the last *H&D* meeting two years earlier. Sadly a long list.

We also honoured and remembered John Tyndall who died twenty years ago. Quoting Mark Cotterill from the meeting's Souvenir Programme, "*John Tyndall, as he would admit himself, was far from perfect, however unlike many of our so-called 'leaders' he was a honest, reliable, and extremely hard working man, who devoted the majority of his life to the cause. JT - we salute you here today.*"

The meeting was also the book launch for the second edition of Steve Brady's ***The Roots of the British***.

(See back page of this issue of *Candour* for details of how to order your own copy).

Hard Copy - *Hardline!*

Above: Five Editors of hard copy (that is print publications) journals pictured together in Preston. **Left to right**, Mark Badrick *The Supplement*, Steve Frost, Leader of BM, *Broadsword*, Robert Baggs *Britain, My Nation*, Mark Cotterill *Heritage and Destiny*, Colin Todd *Candour*.
We use technology but are NOT slaves to it!



Traitor's Gate

Margaret Thatcher - The False Boudicca

Part II

By Stephen Smith

'*Florence of Arabia*'? Perhaps attempting to channel the spirit of T. E. Lawrence.

Pictured atop a tank Thatcher presided over a Tory government which ran the British Armed Forces down in continuation of the Post WW II policy of amalgamating ***our*** armed forces into a United European Force. A little thing like so-called 'Brexit' is not getting in the way of that BIG PLAN.

"I am in politics because of the conflict between good and evil, and I believe that in the end good will triumph"

Margaret Thatcher

A frequent debate on the internet between *genuine* Patriots revolves around a simple question. Which UK Prime Minister, say since 1945, *really* started not *merely* the decline of Great Britain but its accelerated momentum towards actual **destruction**?

Any snap online poll created during these discussions usually (though not always) puts Tony Blair at the top of the 'League of Traitors'. Some people recommend David Cameron. Fresh polls show Keir Starmer easily rising to the premier position in the political roll of infamy. The key factor, in what would see the real destruction of Britain, would be - **the permanent erasure of our unique, brilliant, world influencing people** i.e. *Native, ethnic Britons whose (White) ancestors go back to the Ice Age.* A British state minus those peerless people would be a culturally worthless, degenerate, raceless entity whose only future would be a Stone Age one!

One could argue that Herbert Asquith, P.M. in 1914, was naive and negligent inveigling us into WW1. Greater criticism could be aimed at two other P.M.'s; Neville Chamberlain, in 1939, in his words being "*forced into war*" and Winston Churchill from 1940 onwards keeping us in WW2. In post-1945 peacetime Britain, Premiers Anthony Eden (1955-57) and Harold Macmillan (1957-63) did not do *anything serious* about stopping immigration or completely reversing it (relatively easy in 1950s Britain).

Nonetheless, Britain in 1979, whatever its problems regarding Sovereignty (EEC etc), society (rising crime), and economics (unemployment, industrial decline), was *still* an overwhelmingly White northern European nation. Yes, there were immigrant enclaves already established, developing as seemingly permanent fixtures in London, Midlands, Lancashire and Yorkshire. Continuing Commonwealth Immigration! Three Race Relations Acts (1965, 1968, 1976) had been passed by this stage. However, central point here, the dynamic **racial nucleus** that was and is **Britain** was still relatively intact. Quite firm. **Solid.**

Creation of materialistic, hedonistic 80s Britain

I strongly disagree with those online Nationalists who often insist Blair or Cameron were the UK P.M.s *primarily* responsible for the political abyss and potential racial death we now face. Margaret Thatcher, pseudo-patriot, false messiah, the new queen was **THE** player in hurtling us towards our present danger. And she did so on so many levels. Race/immigration, sovereignty, crime, economics, housing... the list is a long one! Especially the '***No such thing as Society***' mantra. The encouragement of the supremacy of individualism. Dangerous, downward path for Britain.

Thatcher not only continued and expanded our racial problems but with those other interconnected issues at so many levels the gathering destruction was a multi-faceted one!

Being the deluded fool she was, she undoubtedly thought she achieved great things. In her mind a supreme British Patriot. In truth - another useful idiot!

Multiple political decisions

"For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light." 2 Corinthians, Chapter 11: 13 - 15.

As noted in Part One of this feature (*Candour* #887) I will now outline the first four of ten key political areas, examining her effect on this country. During her Premiership of 11½ years, 1979 - 1990. A book from a Racial-Nationalist perspective needs to be written analysing the *full* range of her disastrous political reign. And how it severely damaged Great Britain. However, for this particular article the first four (of the ten) will suffice.

The Former Nation of Rhodesia

"*It is Britain's responsibility and Britain's alone to bring Rhodesia to legal independence*" - Margaret Thatcher in speech to Conservatives. 1979.

At the start of her assumption of political power, apart from letting into this country, c.10,000 'Vietnamese Boat People' Thatcher's first big act of treason concerned the political future of the old British colony of Rhodesia. Rhodesia was, in reality, a multi-racial state, though ruled with benign intelligence by its White minority population of around 250,000. The number of blacks in this state was c. 7,000,000. This small prosperous country was one of the few post-WW2 sub-Saharan states to become a political and economic success, along of course with the Republic of South Africa.

Ethnic Self-Reliance!

I would briefly like to interrupt this subject with my *personal* opinion from a Radical, Racial-Nationalist viewpoint.

Rhodesia had only *two* futures. One was the hideous, primitive nightmare that now exists there. The other was permanent partition on a racial basis.

Permanent separate development for the races.

History shows that White societies, nations, civilisations that retain non-White populations **always** self destruct!

Even in the 'good times' of the 1960s, racially conscious Rhodesians should have seriously considered their long-term future. However, I have strayed off the central subject and I shall now return to it. A comprehensive analysis of what happened to Rhodesia will be published in future issues of ***Candour***.

What is being examined here is a little country in southern Africa, with a White elite, who self-declared independence (UDI - Unilateral Declaration of Independence) in 1965 (they had no other choice), that was subject to betrayal by conservative 'Patriot' Thatcher. Undoubtedly behind Thatcher and her government, Civil Service, were the secret machinations of **Internationalism.**

Something, I am sure, ***Candour*** readers are readily familiar with!

Rhodesia had to declare UDI in 1965, after consistent political interference and economic sanctions. Harold Wilson's Labour Government being the principal offender. Unfortunately for Rhodesia its then Prime Minister was "*Good Old Smithy*" Ian Smith. A WW2, 'Battle of Britain', fighter pilot. And, on paper, another great 'Patriot', always with Rhodesia's best interests in mind. In reality Smith was a grander, seemingly more heroic, version of Nigel Farage (i.e. Surrender will be coming at some point!).

Nationalists please note these bogus types. Smith's outlook was politically myopic.

Basically, his plan for Rhodesia was to have a 'good decade' from UDI onwards but eventually succumb to a multi-racial political option which ultimately would be black majority rule. The Rhodesian issue occupied the Callaghan Labour Government (1976 - 79) but a final solution on this matter was yet to be decided. The problem passed to Thatcher's new Conservative Government in 1979.

Britain, due to being considered the Mother Country, was expected to oversee a final political settlement for Rhodesia. Thatcher, was, very early in her Premiership, somewhat wary of backbench MPs and party members who were strongly supportive of the little, southern African state and wanted the previous political arrangement to continue. Unfortunately for Rhodesia she was under massive pressure from two arms of the Globalist monster. Throughout the 1970s the Rhodesian state had been in a conflict. The 'Bush War', with black terrorists, often of Marxist orientation (I wonder who provided their money, training and equipment?). Their obvious aim being to overthrow the White dominated state. The other Globalist arm, involved Britain, the U.N. And Henry Kissinger (who else!).

The globalists pressed for a political settlement that *ostensibly* involved all parties concerned. The British Government's intervention, despite Thatcher's initial waffle about no deals with terrorists, especially of the Robert Mugabe Marxist variety, saw a political fudge option proffered which ultimately led to Mugabe's triumph and permanent political power!

'Patriot' Ian Smith had conjured his own version of political fudge by concluding an agreement with Bishop Abel Muzorewa and other black moderates by 1978. The 'Internal Settlement'. Muzorewa would be Prime Minister. Smith part of the executive council and seats in the Parliament reserved for Whites.

The country would now be called 'Zimbabwe - Rhodesia'. However the Bush War continued as Mugabe and his rival Joshua Nkomo rejected the settlement and were refused the choice to take part in impending elections *unless they laid down their arms*. The United Nations also condemned the 'Internal Settlement' as "*illegal and unacceptable*" because it was drafted under the "*illegal; racist minority regime*".

Thatcher's Foreign Secretary, Lord Carrington ('last of the Whigs') chaired a three month long conference at Lancaster House in London. Later, this was known as the 'Lancaster House Agreement'. All were invited, including Mugabe and Nkomo acting under the joint banner of the *Patriotic Front.* One can admire such tribalism because Britain's Foreign Office (F.O.) with Carrington and Gilmour had no concept of any 'Patriotism'.

Summing up, Muzorewa's government dissolved itself and fresh elections were to be held in early 1980. In the meantime, Rhodesia would once again, albeit temporarily, became a British colony. British soldiers would act as peacekeepers during this stage including the elections. During the elections there was evidence of Mugabe's *ZANU* organisation intimidating black Rhodesians to vote the 'right way'. Thatcher's government, the temporary masters of Rhodesia could have disqualified Mugabe and co from the elections but, as expected, nothing was done. Thatcher and Carrington probably knew what the outcome would be but were obviously unconcerned. This was due to a twin desire to A: dispose of this irritating political matter as soon as possible and B: Fear and/or support of the Globalist agenda.

A real British government (of the Racial-Nationalist variety) would have acted in the interests of the Rhodesian whites (at least 40% of them of British descent) and stood up, not only to the Communistic Black terrorists but also the invisible octopus of anti-national Globalism.

The Falkland's Conflict - 1982

"*I was told by a Russian general that the Soviets had been firmly convinced that we would not fight for the Falklands, and that if we did, we would lose. We proved them wrong on both counts, and they did not forget the fact*".

Margaret Thatcher.

"*Thatcher was ready for Falkland Islands deal - National Archive papers show*".

The Guardian (UK newspaper) 28th December 2012

Another, Thatcher foreign affairs episode that needs to be the subject of a long, future, ***Candour*** article. An important, specific, singular issue topic.

If any *one* phase of Thatcher's Premiership is remembered above all others it is 'her' victory in the Falkland Islands. Pseudo-Patriot Thatcher, during and after the conflict masqueraded as a contemporary combination of Boudicca, Queen Elizabeth I and Queen Victoria! A reasonable amount of revision reveals several hard unpleasant facts. The conflict/war could have, should have been avoided by a skilled synthesis of intelligent diplomacy backed by, if required, militant political force and armed forces on standby.

The policy, if it could be called that, in the period 1980-82 was a mixture of fingers crossed Micawberism, and, as mentioned in the previous section of this article, a weary desire by the government to rid itself of another colonial relic. Another, minor factor, was that some of Thatcher's Government, obsessed by Free Market Liberalism, actually admired Argentina's reactionary government which had scant respect for ordinary workers.

Argentina's military junta was headed by General Leopoldo Galtieri, a bombastic drunk. A truly patriotic Briton would shudder at the thought of *our* people, being handed over to a regime that routinely tortured and murdered its own citizens and political dissidents. Equally revolting, was the fact that due to Thatcher's 1981 *British Nationality Act* (did it stop immigration?) some Falkland Islanders would be ***excluded*** from instant British citizenship. Something a British Nationalist Government wouldn't hesitate about.

Potentially, 400 - 800 Falkland Islanders would *not* be able to instantly enter Britain in any circumstances. The Islands Governor, Sir Rex Hunt, asked Britain's F.O. in 1980, that *if* Argentina invaded, would the entire population of the Falkland's, c.2,200, be entitled to instant access to British citizenship. Permanent residence in the 'Mother Country'. Enoch Powell once acurately described the F.O. as a "*nest of vipers, that nursery of traitors*". The Vipers' response to Sir Rex Hunt's question was a polite NO! Ditto response from Thatcher herself.

Nationality Act's 'Patrial' Nonsense

Falkland Islanders who lacked a UK born grandparent would have to get in line with other Commonwealth peoples. In other words Blacks/Asians from the West Indies, Africa and south Asia. The Islanders, White and of English and Scottish descent are the kind of 'immigrants' a healthy racially conscious nation ***should admit***.

Apart from the obvious treachery, Thatcher's neo-Liberal Government noted that Argentina had similar economic policies. The sort of policies that destroy the concept of a state being a *National Community* (a giant family) and turns White Workers into quasi-slaves, while a tiny minority becomes super rich. The British government considered the Falklands, purely in terms of geography (far away), was prepared to use soft diplomacy and maybe *share* with Argentina the potential mineral resources of that region. The obvious racial quality of the Islanders was completely disregarded.

Thatcher and her ministers probably, privately, possessed a patrician contempt for this tiny community of farming stock. Established 150 years before, surviving in a harsh environment, facing Antarctica. Thatcher's three stooges, eager to cut ties with the Falkland Islanders, were as in the Rhodesian issue Foreign Secretary Peter Carrington, Minister of State Ian Gilmour, both liberals, and the third member was arch-reactionary and free market fanatic, Nicholas Ridley.

Similar to the plight of the White Rhodesians, there were literally *only* two choices. Absolute capitulation of the Islands. Shared sovereignty is an oxymoron. ALL the Islanders allowed to live in Britain. Or, total political defiance, informing 'World Opinion' and Argentina that *any* incursion would result in British military action.

In 1980 Thatcher's government, apart from the general policy of 'drift', offered to Argentina the idea of 'Leaseback'. Acknowledging Argentina's sovereignty but with the UK leasing back the Islands and a British administration running things. Local laws and culture continue and, of course, 'the wishes of the Islanders to be respected'! (They would instantly be disregarded!). 'Leaseback', Ridley's brainwave, would be for a *defined period.* In other words, **phased** surrender!

The schizoid nature of Liberalism is revealed here with the chicanery and naivety of Ridley. Bearing in mind the nature and reputation Galtieri's regime a green light had been given,and Argentina would not have been satisfied until they had *total* control of the Falklands (*Las Malvinas*). Thatcher's Neo-Liberals, obsessed with all things material as opposed to the biological, tribal, ethnic were also enthused about possible trade deals with Argentina, once things had 'settled' down. They also considered joint exploration of the vast areas around the Falklands searching for valuable natural resources. Therefore, who cares about c.2,200 folk of sound British stock?

Ideological Mirror Images

The economic dogma, almost religious, of Neo-Liberals is on a par with Communism and equally insane.

Thatcher's cost cutting was probably the tipping point that induced Argentina to invade on 2 April 1982. Primarily the proposed scrapping of HMS Endurance the vessel that regularly patrolled the Islands. One ship can't stop a full invasion but it was a symbol of Britain's commitment to the Falkland Islands. Removing the ship was akin to hoisting a white flag of surrender.

In the UK Parliament, February 1982, previous PM James Callaghan (Labour) pointed out that withdrawing HMS Endurance could tempt Argentina to invade. The reply from Thatcher's front bench was just waffle. Three days before the actual invasion, another famous Labour politician, former Chancellor, Denis Healy informed the government that reports were coming in of a large naval fleet leaving Argentine waters and heading for the Falklands. Again the obvious threat was ignored.

70s Labour Government

Some irony here, in that, in late 1977, Argentina was possibly ready to launch an earlier invasion but were immediately thwarted by te prompt action of Callaghan/Healy's *Labour* Government! PM Callaghan instructed the Royal Navy to take action. Two frigates and a submarine were sent to the south Atlantic to patrol the islands for a short period. No war. No deaths. No one lost face!

When the cataclysm occurred in April 1982 Thatcher and her cabinet were shocked and unsure what to do, despite a public relations exercise of spirited resolution mixed with phoney patriotism. They had no idea what action to take. Those of us familiar with this story, now know that, Britain put together the military 'Task Force' that took back the temporarily conquered Islands.

Thatcher's victory at the 1983 General Election on the back of this was assured and her ratings as a political figure soared high. Now her role in government and the Conservative party was unassailable. However, it must be pointed out that the simple policy the *previous government* applied would have avoided this **unnecessary** conflict.

The Cost

British losses; 255 dead, 1001 wounded (some terribly). 3 Islanders. Financial cost of the war, reinforcing the Islands etc - £1.8 billion. So much for Thatcher's 'good housekeeping' and balancing the books. Argentina, along with Uruguay, the whitest nations in Latin America, suffered heavier losses. Dead servicemen 649, wounded: 1657.

At least (from an Argentine civilian's point of view) it got rid of the military junta! A tragic, underlying, fact was more dead White men in 'Brothers Wars' that should never happen. Britain and Argentina previously has strong connections through families, business, railways and sport.

And yet... There are certain things already mentioned that really stand out and are symptomatic of the liberal disease. The vile eagerness of Thatcher's government to eventually abandon **Britons** to live under a truly horrific regime via her ***British Nationality Act*** while simultaneously considering the 'economic benefits' of a fruitful relationship with Argentina. The only criteria for a nationality act is **racial**. The only immigrants we want are Whites, preferably of the Anglophone type - but no, the ideological perversity of liberalism wanted to deny a portion of the Falkland Islanders their *absolute* right to live in Britain. Get in line sayeth the Foreign Office. If only they had been Vietnamese '*Boat People*'.

Immigration (early 1980s) & British Nationality Act 1981

In the *Traitor's Gate*: *Margaret Thatcher - The False Boudicca: Part I* in ***Candour*** #887 attention was brought to Thatcher's fake attempts to "*understanding the British people's concerns about immigration*" The "*Swamping*" dialogue. That one planted public announcement by her, on a well-known TV current affairs programme, *guaranteed* the votes of hundreds of thousands of White Working-Class Britons, many of whom would have voted for the rising National Front (real Nationalism) would now put their ballot box X's next to the Conservative Party. Thatcher's 'Conservatives' not even 'right wing' let alone genuinely patriotic were, sadly, successful at fooling the voting masses who went for the easier option of Thatcher, naively assuming she would do 'something' about immigration.

No Concise Immigration Policy

Thatcher, *never* at any point mentioned completely *stopping immigration* let alone **repatriation**. The repatriation element is what a large proportion of Britons really *did* want.

As the rest of the 1980s unfolded, immigration just continued with considerable increases from sub-Saharan Africa. Without a vigorous policy of repatriation.

Thatcher's immigration 'policy' was meaningless. The British Nationality Act was a sop to the British public. That piece of legislation's effect on the *ongoing* transformation of White Britain into a multi-racial society (without consent) was minimal. The clauses regarding 'patrials' i.e. If you had *one grandparent born in the UK (they could be any race). You had the right to apply for citizenship. In effect this could mean a racially mixed individual* from the Caribbean had more right of entry to the UK than say a White of distant but predominantly British *ethnic stock whose ancestors emigrated to America in the 19th century*! Something the Falkland Islanders found out in 1980.

The Jellyfish

Thatcher's Home Secretary who pushed this ridiculous Bill through parliament was arch-liberal William Whitelaw. (Sometimes referred to as the 'Jellyfish'). Those of us active in the Nationalist movement usually called him William *Anti*-Whitelaw. He was one of the *worst* political figures to handle any kind of immigration legislation. Whitelaw was a diseased, self-hating, liberal. From his appeasement of IRA terrorists in 1972, to his pathetic response to the black riots of 1981. However, he was quite 'firm' when it came to persecuting British Nationalists under the infamous Race Relations Act. He was one of Thatcher's favourite ministers and she always sought his advice.

The Public Order Act 1986

For the rest of her time as PM, our unique island nation carried on being 'swamped' by alien cultures, its natives subject to riots and street crime, while simultaneously Thatcher and her new Home Secretary, Leon Britain, were planning another piece of legislation that was 'on paper' about strengthening public order, but its essence was to be a draconian addition to the race relations acts. It was a serious attempt to gag free speech and thought in spoken word and print, the former Marxist Soviet states of eastern Europe had similar laws.

This Act was a *precursor* to the truly monstrous 'Hate Crimes' legislation (courtesy of New Labour), that Britain has been afflicted with for the last 25 years.

The then Thatcher government commented that this Act was merely 'updating' the original 1936 Public Order Act. Nonsense. This new Act wasn't just about dealing with public disorder problems. The key to this new set of laws, akin to an insurance policy, was in the small print. The obvious absurdity and *evil* of Hate Crime laws (as always directed against the native population) was initiated by Tony Blair's government

from 1998 onwards. In particular, its provisions relating to free speech and printed matter.

The 'grandparents' of *all* this legalistic malevolence were the 1965, 1968 Race Relations Acts and then, of course, the 1976 Race Relations Act. In particular Section 70 of the Act, which in plain English means, for instance, that a Nationalist who writes an article about ethnic crime which is 100% accurate in terms of the facts, even without using *controversial language*, could be, and often was subject to **criminal** prosecution.

Truth being NO Defence!

These laws appear to be political, moral and legal insanity **but** the instigators of these concepts knew *exactly* what they were doing.

Thatcher's new Act went even further than the old 1976 Act with emphasis on concepts such as... 'abusive' or 'insulting' words or behaviour. Define it? Such deliberate vagueness could literally be applied to *any* social confrontation/situation, communication or simply thoughts on a scrap of paper. While Thatcher and her cabinets always pontificated about freedom, democracy, the British 'way of life', etc, she had no qualms about putting this revolting legislation on the UK Statute Book!

Article Summary

When I began writing about this deeply unpleasant anti-British PM, I thought two parts would be sufficient. However, Thatcher was in power for eleven and a half years during which a lot of awful things happened to the British nation. So, this feature about her will be expanded to a third part. This will cover economics, housing, Europe, Ulster, coal and immigration (crime). (Also her strange reluctance to sort out paedophiles in the public eye and the Civil Service.)

"A casual stroll through the lunatic asylum shows that faith does not prove anything"

-Friedrich Nietzsche 1888
The Antichrist

* * * *

Above: Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher 1979 - 1990 and Leader of the wretched Conservative (Tory) Party from 1975 - 1990.
With her co-conspirator and one of the toffs she liked to surround herself with and dominate, (They seemed to enjoy being dominated), William Stephen Ian Whitelaw, later 1st Viscount Whitelaw. Home Secretary during the major 1981, mainly, negroid riots in London, Liverpool, Birmingham and Leeds among many other places.

Tories - Always the Trojan Horse

Thatcher handed Mugabe the keys to Rhodesia

"And over the Rhodesian issue let us in particular guard against those deceivers in the ranks of 'Conservatism' who pose as friends of our kinfolk in Rhodesia and talk of the need to build bridges to them while at the same time kowtowing to the leftist principle of 'eventual majority rule'. When the doctrines of these swindlers are put under the microscope they become exposed as no better than those of the liberals, communists and open enemies of the whites in Africa. They merely propose to put off till tomorrow what the latter intend to do today. White Rhodesians and South Africans should be under no illusions; they should recognise that their only true friends in this country are those who are prepared to speak out openly in defence of their right to stay in power for all time and not just for the few more years of grace that our modern Tories would condescend to give them."

JOHN TYNDALL in 1966.
Six Principles of British Nationalism

SIX PRINCIPLES OF BRITISH NATIONALISM
by John Tyndall
Is just one of many publications available from us at the:
A.K. Chesterton Trust.
New printed catalogue available when time allows!
Available now from our Online Shop:
www.candour.org.uk





Amos Pogle - He is One of Our *Own*

By Tarka

When would you follow a Hedgepig (or in conventional British English, Hedgehog)? "*When you are looking for Pogles*" I hear the more knowledgeable, or just older, ones of you reply.

Correct.

Unfortunately since July 29th 1965, the date of the first episode of *The Pogles* was broadcast on the BBC, the hedgehog population of the UK has drastically declined. In the 1950s it was estimated there were 36.5 million hedgehogs in Britain, today it is believed only just over 879,000 remain.

Does this mean there has been a similar population loss within the Pogles community? This is impossible to know.

Oliver Postgate who obviously befriended, and then was allowed to film the *Pogles*, (as my daughter believed when she was three) died in 2008. Since then despite concerted efforts with my son and daughter when they were small children, we were unable to find a Hedgepig to follow and lead us to the Pogles. The wooden sign saying '***Pogles Wood***', which "the twins" hung Togs' lost scarf on has, inevitably, by now rotted away, or, more sadly, has the Wood, which carried their name, been built on by ignorant developers who cared naught about its importance?

Oliver Postgate was born on the 12th April 1925 in Hendon, and educated at Woodstock School, Woodhouse Grammar School, both in Finchley, followed by Dartington Hall School, a progressive private boarding school in Devon. He joined the Home Guard in 1942 while studying at Kingston College of Art, but when he became liable for military service the following year, he declared himself a conscientious objector as his father had done during the First Brothers' War. He was initially refused recognition. Oliver accepted a medical examination as a first step to call up, and then reported for duty with the Army in Windsor, but refused to put on the uniform. He was court-marshalled and sentenced to three months in Feltham Prison. This qualified him to return to the 'Appellate Tribunal', where he was granted exemption conditional upon working on the land or in social service, the unserved portion of his sentence being remitted. He worked on the land until the end of the war, when he went to occupied Germany, working for the Red Cross in social relief. Returning to the UK in 1948 he, in 1957, joined Associated-Rediffusion (which held the ITV franchise for London) and the rest, as they say, is history. He died in 2008, in Ramsgate, at the age of 83, eight years after writing "Seeing Things", his autobiography. Along with 'Pogles' Wood' he also created: 'Bagpuss'; 'Pingwings'; 'Noggin the Nog'; 'Ivor the Engine' and the 'Clangers', all under the '***Smallfilms***' umbrella.

The initial six episodes of 'The Pogles' all had separate titles, but are known collectively as 'The Witch'. The main characters are: Amos Pogle, always called 'Pogle' by his wife, who he calls 'Wife', and is described, by pogleswod.org, as; "Somewhat politically incorrect in his none-the-less affectionate and loving relationship with Mrs Pogle". In fact in one episode he threatens "to tip" Mrs Pogle out of bed if she doesn't get up and make his breakfast. I did mention this to my wife, and, strangely, she thought Pogles' attitude was somewhat unreasonable. Ah well, then again, what couple agrees on everything?; 'Wife', again quoting from pogleswood.org; "As the devoted but firm wife of Amos Pogle, Edna was very lovely. She liked to bake and to take care of Pogle". (Actually, in looks and personality, she was a dead ringer for my late Mum.); and The 'Witch'. The Witch is an extremely scary character. She wears a long hooded cloak, and bears more than a passing resemblance to Pritti Patel. Yes, she's that scary.

This first series was shown once, and once only, in 1965, and never shown again. "The Witch was considered too scary for younger viewers" (source: BBC website), and the BBC imposed a self-ban. So Oliver Postgate then came up with the far gentler 'Pogles' Wood' to replace it. Interestingly it wasn't only the BBC who banned 'The Pogles'. Lambeths' Labour Council in the 1980's went one step further, and removed all Pogles Wood videos and books from the children's section of their libraries. When this was happening I visited the library that was in Lower Marsh, Waterloo, and asked the reason for this Pogles Pogrom. "Pogles Wood doesn't represent the socio-economic and ethnic demographic of the children of Lambeth", I was told by the Head Librarian. I thought about it for a moment and then said "You've got a valid point, I have never seen any 'fairy-folk' living in hollowed out Oak trees round here". He replied, without any hint of irony, "Exactly". You couldn't make it up, but....

. ...back to 'The Witch'. I still enjoy 'The Pogles' and 'Pogles' Wood' for many reasons: It has a wonderful level of whimsy (an upper-class, semi-inebriated, story telling plant - called 'Plant' - for starters); I love Amos Pogles' style of dress - hat, smock, chords, heavy boots - should be an English 'National costume' if we ever get one; Postgate's clever use of 'mysticism' and his almost total disregard (in the case of 'The Pogles') that he was producing a programme for the under-5s. Our own 20th century incarnation of the Brothers Grimm possibly? In the final episode (entitled "The King of the Fairies"), the Witch takes the form of an old boot attempting to kick down the Pogles' door. Mrs Pogle shouts at the Witch "You're a horrible thieving hag!". Then, without wishing to give too much of the story away, the Singing Bird places the magic crown on the head of Pogle, giving him the power to banish the Witch. He wants to turn her into something, but the concern is she will just turn back into herself as she has done previously. Mrs Pogle concedes "It looks like there is nothing!(we can do)", but this gives Pogle an idea and he says to the Witch "You are no thing, you are nothing at all", thus turning the hag of the night into "nothing". Good triumphs over evil, and all is well in the miniature Merry England that the

Pogles inhabited, thus making it somewhat different to the England that we inhabit today.

Since 1965, like the hedgehog, the English are getting increasingly rare, possibly for the same reason, disappearing safe habitats, and, like the red squirrel, replaced by species from elsewhere. I have a message for those many individuals who, unlike us, believe this Country, our Country, is no more than a lump of land, to be exploited and debased by whoever sees fit. Who see, again unlike us, our beautiful countryside as something to be despoiled and concreted over to house our (*their*?) ever expanding population. Those who mock us for our love of "*this Sceptered Isle*" and its people despite, or maybe because of, their idiosyncrasies -

To *them* I have one thing to say, and I quote directly from Amos Pogle; "***You are no thing, you are nothing at all***" and, be warned,

unlike the Witch we are not going to disappear. We are here, and here we stay, for here is the land of our ancestors -



No Replacement!

* * * *

The
League of Empire Loyalists
Badge

A superb metal recasting of the original 'Bronze' *LEL* badge. Top quality and exact even to the safety clasp fastening.
£20.00. P & P add 10% UK. 30% Overseas.

Send cheque or Postal Order payable to **Colin Todd** at:
BM Candour, London, WC1N 3XX, UK.
Or using *PayPal* at our Online Shop at:
www.candour.org.uk
(Or send £20.00 cash wrapped in tin foil to our business address above).

If it's TRUTH you want it's *CANDOUR* you need!

What Happened to all of Our Missing Metal Railings?
By Colin Todd

Looking on YouTube recently, I came across an interesting, to me at least, short programme **WARTIME METAL RAILINGS WHAT HAPPENED** by GULL PERCH FLYER. This short film was made in Plymouth but also applies anywhere in the UK.

It reminded me of my paternal grandmother Honoria Todd walking me around Whitley Bay when I was a child, in the 1960's, and telling me that many of the railings from houses and parks were taken away during the Great War of 1914-18. Since then I have always paid notice to the metal stumps in so many places in the British Isles. So much of the information available only refers to the World War II scrap metal drive that I was wondering if I had misremembered. I Googled the question "*Were our railings taken in WWI?*" and up came the following 'Al Overview'.

"*Yes, some railings were taken during World War I, but the primary period for widespread removal and the associated folklore of them being dumped in the Thames or used as ballast (ships. Ed.) was during WWII, which began in 1939. During WWI, railings from houses and graves were removed to be used as scrap metal for the war effort, although the quality of much of this iron was often unsuitable for production. The removal was partly a patriotic, morale-boosting effort, with the collection of railings continuing for propaganda purposes even when the metal's practical use was limited.*"

I found that there are many articles and discussions on the web on this subject and most talk of 'cover up' in that tens of thousands of tons of metal were stored and then quietly dumped at sea after the war.

Also there were the aluminium 'Pots and Pans' drives to supposedly help build Spitfires. Did that ever produce anything?

Or was it all a short term morale boost and long term vandalism. Imagine politicians behaving like that...

All part of the visible legacy of Brothers Wars that should never have been fought.

* * * *

More *League of Empire Loyalists* Memories

By Bill Baillie

The following piece is a combination of recent notes from Bill's, a veteran of AK's *LEL* and Mosley's *Union Movement*, always fascinating monthly Blogs at:
Nation Revisited: *nationrevisited.blogspot.com*
European Outlook: *euoropeanoutlook.blogspot.com*
(Subscribe to his Blogs today).

I asked Colin Todd, the editor of *Candour* why he persisted with a hard-copy publication when it would be so much easier to go online, like *The Independent* newspaper.

Patriotic magazines face the double jeopardy of rising postal and print charges, and a total lack of advertising. The existing magazines advertise each other on a reciprocal basis but there is no paid advertising.

His reply surprised me. He said that our "*increasingly authoritarian government could shut us out of the Internet at any time*." They have already started to interfere by making the service providers attach warning notices to our blogs. The next step could be a total ban.

It would be easier for the government to control the Internet than bringing prosecutions against individual publications, none of which actually promotes violence or racial hatred.

In order to educate the masses we must maintain our hard-copy magazines by taking out subscriptions and making donations. We cannot hope to compete with the mass media, but we can influence our readers.

In the old days we used to sell our papers on street corners. In 1962 I was arrested in Croydon town centre for selling *Candour* whilst wearing a Union Jack armband. An eager young copper marched me to the police station to be charged, presumably under the Public Order Act, but a wise old station sergeant ordered him to let me go.

In those days *Candour* was a highly intellectual journal that was difficult to sell without being obstructed by the police. Today's version is easier to read but no less forthright. (Double edged praise indeed Bill! **Ed.**)

Street vending can be difficult and sometimes dangerous. Political meetings are a much better venue.

Nation Revisited #218 April 2025

Photo: Former *LEL* and *Union Movement* members Paul Barnes, Bill Barnes (Paul's father and former, pre-WWII, *Imperial Fascist League*) and Bill Baillie on a National Front march at Red Lion Square in 1974. The only NF march Bill Baillie ever went on.!

European Outlook # 106, June 2025

(Bill told me this was a screenshot from a cine film. Does anyone have more information about the film?).

From **CANDOUR INTERIM REPORT**
October 1962. Pg. 6

LOYALISTS ACTIVE ON MANY FRONTS

APART from the greatly intensified campaign against Britain's entry into the Common Market, the League of Empire Loyalists has continued to be active on a variety of fronts.

Several nuclear surrender meetings have received the attentions of Loyalist hecklers. At one meeting, the Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament had invited spokesmen for the Soviet and American Governments to put their views on the banning of nuclear tests. The foreign spokesmen did not turn up, but League spokesmen did, one rising to tell the audience as the meeting was due to start: "The Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament has invited you to hear the Russian point of view and the American point of view. The one thing you will not hear from the C.N.D. is the British point of view."

At another C.N.D. meeting, Avril Walters was kicked in the back by a nuclear surrenderer. Bill Baillie and Paul Barnes, going to her assistance, were set upon by a gang of Communists who had gathered behind them, and Baillie's eye was cut open so badly that he had to be taken to hospital to have it stitched. Determined to show that they would not be frightened off by this sort of violence, a team of League members went to the next nuclear surrender meeting to be held in London. The occasion was a "reporting back" by delegates who had attended the Communist-front "peace conference" in Moscow. The programme was intended to start with the singing of C.N.D. songs by a choir, but there was an unscheduled alteration. The choir opened their mouths, but they remained silently open as the voices of Austen Brooks, Avril Walters, Bob Huxtable, Bill Baillie (who had insisted on attending), Paul Barnes, Peter Bray, David Baber, Moyna Traill-Smith and others rang out with "Land of Hope and Glory". After allowing the official choir one song, the Loyalists took over again with "Bongo, bongo, bongo, Let's send Russell to the Congo, For we don't want him here", and when they closed the musical proceedings with "Rule Britannia" some of the audience and one member of the platform party actually joined in. There was some muttering among the audience, but no violence was attempted against the compact Loyalist party of hecklers. Eventually Brooks arose and told the chairman: "We have heard enough of this Communist propaganda. We're going."

It was at this point that one nuclear surrenderer showed an inclination to violence. He turned to the man standing behind him and said: "Let's have a go at them." "Don't be a B.F.," replied Bill Barnes, pushing past him. "I'm with them." The inclination to violence abruptly disappeared.

What were the *Candour* Interim Reports?

Due to a story that in itself would make a movie, weekly publication of *Candour* was suspended from issue #440, 30th March 1962, until we came back as a monthly with issue #441, May 1965.

That gap was filled with *17 Interim Reports*.

Sad News....

Bill Baillie
1945 - 2025
requiesat in pace

I heard mid - August that Bill had been found dead in his flat near the Kennington Oval by a friend and comrade.
His health had been poor for some time but it was still a shock to hear the sad news. I shall miss our long phone talks...

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Colin Todd
BM Candour
London
WC1N 3XX. UK

Email:
akctrust@yahoo.co.uk

Editor,

Thank you for your letter, enclosure, and the photo of Derek Beackon winning the (Millwall) local election so many years ago. He certainly broke the mould and led the way for so many more. It's terrible that it all fell apart into a mass of small and insignificant groups that are not going anywhere and can't win a local ward election...

...It's good to see Trump back again and cleaning out the woke crap from the schools, universities, the military, and all of the other institutions they have infiltrated over the decades. It is unfortunate that he does not go all the way, especially his stand supporting Israel, AI and going against Putin when he is fighting against the perverts that are destroying the West.

Let's hope that the flag waving farmers attract more people to the Red, White and Blue. We should be with our own people and trying to make this country of ours as self sufficient as possible.

If we need more people working in agriculture we should shut down universities and schools and get youngsters into the countryside and picking seasonal crops.

The aim of national freedom and non interference in the affairs of others must be our policy. If the EU, WEF, UN, NATO and all the other One World bullies do not like it... Well that is hard cheese and ****** them.

Gordon Stridiron
Gateshead

Editor,

T.E. Lawrence, Hilaire Belloc and Roy Genders together - only in *Candour* my friend, only in *Candour*.

Fantastic stuff.

P. Armstrong



Editor,

I just thought I'd drop you a quick email to say that I thoroughly enjoyed the latest *Candour*, particularly the articles on TE Lawrence and Rodney Legg. I'm a sucker for anything on Lawrence and I'm always interested in reading about people involved in our movement in the past that I've not heard of. As soon as I read about Rodney Legg, I started searching and managed to track down a copy of one of his books on Lawrence! (See attached.) Hoping to find more of his books soon.

I hope that all is well with you.

Keep up the good work.

S. Fairweather
Harrogate



Above: Mr. Fairweather sent this photo of issue #887 and his latest book acquisition. One of two books about T.E. Lawrence published by former *LEL*-er the late Rodney Legg.

(Please send us your thoughts and feedback.)

Editor,

Some things just aren't adding up. Why is the issue of mass immigration linked to one's support or non support for Palestine? I don't think I've met anyone who is concerned with mass immigration, and in particular undocumented immigration, who is also sympathetic to the Palestinian cause. We have media hyped public figures like "Tommy Robinson" (real name Stephen Christopher Yaxley-Lennon. Ed) who claims he has a genuine desire to bring justice to the rape gang victims/survivors in the north of England, yet he supports Israel to the hilt in its assault on Palestinians.

Similarly, the citizen journalists lately sprung up in NI documenting the migrant issue and highly critical of mass immigration and the way illegals have been welcomed, pampered, and given privileged status over, for example, indigenous homeless people, have no sympathy whatsoever for the present plight of Palestinians and even label Palestinian supporters as Hamas supporters.

Wanting an end to the slaughter of Palestinians is a genuine desire for an end to the slaughter of Palestinians and nothing else.

The juxtaposition, in my view, of mutually exclusive sympathies, is multifactorial. It's the funding of these movements from pro-Israel sources, state intelligence interference, and just the lazy thinking of a majority of people who are happy joining one side or the other without analysing properly each issue, which if they did might bring them to the understanding that both extremities of opinion tend to highlight the negatives of the other side and downplay the unsavoury elements of their own side.

It's hard, in this context, to give allegiance to groups which are either pro-Palestinian but also share the "refugees welcome" outlook - which in my view is a bit near-sighted - or they have genuine concerns about mass immigration, particularly undocumented immigration, but also sanction what's happening in Palestine - which in my view is an abhorrence.

Louis Shawcross
Co. Down

NEW REPRINT of:

The Roots of the British

Second edition (2025)

The Origins and Development of the British People: the story of our ethnic origins

By Stephen J. Brady Bsc (Hons), ARCS, Cert Ed.


The Roots of the British
The Origins and Development of the British People: the story of our ethnic origins
by Stephen J. Brady BSc (Hons), ARCS, Cert Ed.

The Roots of the British was first published in 1990.

This new updated 2025 edition has been revised, reprinted and published by *Heritage and Destiny* and is now available from them for only **£10.00 per copy** including UK postage (for multiple copies, bulk rates and/or overseas postage rates please contact them).

www.heritageanddestiny.com

To order your A4 size, soft cover, copy for £10.00 please send cheques/postal/money orders (made payable to **Heritage and Destiny**) and post to : Heritage and Destiny, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE.

Online you can pay by *PayPal* or by BACs (Bank Transfer) to; Account name - Heritage and destiny, Account number -14144034, Sort code - 01-00-85:- Use your name and the word "Roots book" as reference.

NOW AVAILABLE


JULIAN LEPPERT
INTO THE FURNACE
A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY AND MY JOURNEY THROUGH THE WINNING YEARS
2002 - 2012
FOREWORD BY RICHARD EDMONDS

Julian Leppert's much awaited book

INTO THE FURNACE: *A Brief History of the British National Party and My Journey Through the Winning Years 2002 - 2012*

recounts Julian Leppert's (author) decade-long adventure in the British National Party as a high-profile candidate, organiser and elected official in London, together with extended original quotes and insight from numerous big-name veterans from both eras of party history.

Hardback with dust jacket.
390 pages.
Illustrated with photos.
£22.00 + P&P.

Order online from

CLAYMORE BOOKS

claymorebooks.co.uk

Illegitimi non carborundum

Don't Let the Bastards Grind you Down

(A mock-Latin phrase. But so appropriate to OUR cause)

OVERSEAS OFFER!

Send what you can in $US, Euros or £ Sterling from out side of the UK, or via *PayPal*, and your *Candour* Editor will send as many consecutive issues as the cash covers for Air Mail.

***Candour* will adapt to all challenges from those who would see the end to free thinking INK publications.**

Any questions email Colin Todd: ***akctrust@yahoo.co.uk***

SPECIAL

UK SUBSCRIPTION RATE

5 ISSUES for £20.00 U.K.

Visit our Online Shop at *www.org.uk* press the DONATIONS button twice and add your details.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES FOR 10 ISSUES

U.K. £40.00. Cheques or Postal Orders payable to *Colin Todd* only.

All of the following subscriptions sent by Airmail. Europe £60.00 or 70 Euros.

Canada/USA/Rest of the World £75.00 (Or equivalent in US $'s at latest rate to nearest 5 $'s)

Inside or outside of the UK (signed post) you can send, wrapped in tin foil, in £'s Sterling notes, $ Bills or Euro notes in a securely sealed envelope and post to:

***The A.K. Chesterton Trust*, BM Candour, London, WC1N 3XX, UK.**

All subscriptions, orders and donations can also be sent using *PayPal* at our Online Shop at *www.candour.org.uk*

Printed and Published by *The A.K. Chesterton Trust*, BM Candour, London, WC1N 3XX, UK.
www.candour.org.uk (Editor: Colin Todd)

CANDOUR
Extra!

#889 October -November 2025
Subscribers Only


The FOUNDING MYTHS of MODERN ISRAEL
Roger Garaudy
The book that scandalized Europe and thrilled the Islamic world brings America the shocking truth on Zionism and the Holocaust!

"*I am pleasantly surprised to find that your publication (Candour) has taken a principled anti-Zionist position and has set itself apart from the sea of Kosher right organisations in Britain.*" **A.D. (USA)**

Please note that a great supporter of *Candour* sent us, in the UK, a stock of this title so US and Overseas subscribers are asked to order direct from the US publisher at ***ihr.org*** (our old friends at the *Institute for Historical Review* based in California).

Defying French censors, ***Founding Myths*** broke the silence and shattered the taboos of the Holocaust, on Adolf Hitler's relations with the founders of modern Israel, and on Zionism's hidden influence on American politics. Now, the most complete, up-to-date, and accurate English language edition available brings you not only the full text of Roger Garaudy's *Founding Myths of Modern Israel* but the full story of the 1998 French trial of Garaudy and the international controversy that continues to rage over this VITAL book!

Chapters include; *The Myth of the 'Chosen People', The Myth of the Six Million (The Holocaust), The Myth Disguised as History and Its Use in Politics* and so much more.

Roger Garaudy, a decorated veteran of the French Army in WWII, was interned in a concentration camp for his activities in the French resistance. Garaudy was the leading philosopher of France's postwar Communist party, and served as deputy speaker of the French National Assembly. After his writings helped inspire France's 1968 student uprising Garaudy broke with the Communists over the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia. In 1998 Garaudy was convicted and fined for Holocaust Denial under French law for claiming that the Six Million was a myth. **(For the original French edition of this book).**
224 pages. Softcover. 2003 Second Printing by the Institute for Historical Review.

Order in the UK while we have this important book in stock.
From our Online Shop at ***www.candour.org.uk***
Or for £25.00 including P&P in the UK.
Cheques/Postal Orders payable to Colin Todd (or cash in foil)
To **BM Candour, London, WC1N 3XX.**
Outside of the UK order direct from *ihr.org* in the US.

BRITONS!
Show Your Anti-Zionist Solidarity with a British/English Palestine Badge

The Zionist schills of fake 'British' nationalism such as *Britain* 'Israel' *First* and Stephen Yaxley-lennon (alias "Tommy Robinson") have, depressingly but NOT surprisingly, all too easily, turned many of their 'followers' into anti-Palestine supporters of 'brave little Israel'.
The Zionist $ dollar is being generously spread far and wide and TAKEN by the unscrupulous.

***Candour* folk are Zionist-wise not SHEEPLE!**

Above: Badges (shown approx. actual size) from:
Left to Right:
FREE PALESTINE. £6.00. **Union/Palestine flags** £5.00. **Palestine/England flags** £5.00.
All enamelled with butterfly pin attachments.

To Order: Add 20% in UK and 30% Overseas.
Send a cheque or Postal Order payable to **Colin Todd** at:
BM Candour, London, WC1N 3XX, UK.
(Or for all three send a £20 note wrapped in tin foil)
To order from our Online Shop using *PayPal* visit:
www.candour.org.uk

ARMREG Holocaust Academy

www.GermarRudolf.com
www.CODOH.com
www.holocaustsummit.com
www.HolocaustHandbooks.com
www.HolocaustEncyclopedia.com
www.InconvenientHistory.org
www.HolocaustAcademy.com
www.HolocaustSummit.com



HOLOCAUST ENCYCLOPEDIA
uncensored and unconstrained

Please mention *Candour* when responding to advertisements

Our comrade Bill Baillie is dead and without any final confirmation I must conclude that our Canadian comrade John Beattie is also deceased.

Two irreplaceables.

In a strange way they 'live' on through the internet until, I suppose, the pre-payment for their accounts run out. (As it seems John Beattie's now has ceased very recently.)

Farewell to ***DINOSAUR NEWS***.

We miss you JB.

So until that happens next I shall continue to feature Bill Baillie's blogs in *Candour* so that younger generations and older newcomers can, maybe, learn from and appreciate his hard learned lessons and his **COURAGE & LOYALTY.**

Bill Baillie's Blogs

The first of each month Bill Baillie, veteran of the *League of Empire Loyalists* and *Union Movement* updated his always interesting two blogs:

Nation Revisited: *nationrevisited.blogspot.com*

European Outlook: *europeanoutlook.blogspot.com*

Bill's great wealth of knowledge of the nationalist movement was always fascinating and if you had any questions about our history or the people he had known he always generous with his opinions and extensive knowledge.

UKColumn

www.ukcolumn.org

Professional live online presentation 3 times a week from their Plymouth studio

1pm (1300) UK.

Monday - Wednesday - Friday

Good solid reporting on the war of distraction in Ukraine, the Covid nonsense and getting better on the poison of Zionism. A lot of excellent information and previous shows to see. A super source of information and ideas in the UK.

BROADSWORD

The official supporting publication of BRITISH MOVEMENT

For your copy of their latest 24 page issue and more information send £5.00 in cash or other payment payable to ***Broadsword*** and send to:

P.O. Box 6, Heckmondwicke, West Yorkshire, WF16 0XF, UK.

www.britishmovement

The appearance of details of publications or broadcasters in the *Candour Extra!* in no way indicates our support of or knowledge of their contents past, present or future!

At *Candour* we believe in good old fashioned

BRITISH FREE SPEECH!

British Democrats

British Democrats
P.O. Box 11028
Loughborough
LE12 7YL
britishdems.co.uk

The Party of British Identity

Heritage & Destiny

Nationalist News and Views

For your 28 page copy of the latest issue (#127) send £7.00 or $15.00 (US) payable to *Heritage and Destiny* and send to 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, U.K.

Cheques, Postal Orders or cash (Recorded Delivery only) and using BACS.

Email:
heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com

Heritage and Destiny
Ulster, England and Spain erupt in fury over refugee hotels, 'asylum' and crime
see pages 2, 14-15 & 27
also in this issue:
Jack Antonio reports on the Attack of the Cat Ladies: the tragicomedy of America's battle of the sexes
see pages 3-5
and:
Crime in a multi-ethnic metropolis: Peter Rushton reviews the third series of Gangs of London
see pages 16-17

www.heritageanddestiny.com

Britain, My Nation

Independently published in support of and to promote British Nationalism

Bi-monthly. Editor Robert Baggs.

A5 size 20 pages.

For a sample copy send £2.50. 1 year (6 issues) £15.00.

Payments by cheque or Postal Order (payable to R.A. Baggs), cash or 2nd Class postage stamps to:

The Editor, 10 Washpool, Swindon, Wilts, SN5 3PN.

League Sentinel

Journal of the League of St. George

Published Quarterly. UK subscription £7.50 for 4 issues.

Payment to ***League Enterprises*** and send to:

League Enterprises, 5 Brayford Square, London, E1 0SG

www.leaguestgeorge.org

Keep Talking

Friendly London Meetings:

https://letskeeptalking.homeblog/

Videos:

https://odysee.com/@911Keep Talking.

Contact Ian Fantom:

ian@keeptalking.info